



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

*[Handwritten signature]*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,903	03/10/2004	Yuji Yamamoto	2003946-0080 (FP04-0096-0)	3373
24280	7590	07/17/2007	EXAMINER	
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART LLP TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110			PAGONAKIS, ANNA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1609	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/797,903	YAMAMOTO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Anna Pagonakis	1609

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.                                     |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.                         |

***DETAILED ACTION***

In addition to the restriction applicant is required to choose a specie from the chosen Group.

***Restriction***

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a *c-Kit kinase inhibitor*, classified in class 514, subclass 183.

If this group is elected, the below summarized species election is also required.

- II. Claims 12-17, drawn to *method of treatment* using a c-Kit kinase inhibitor, classified in class 514, subclass 183. If this group is elected, the below summarized species election is also required.

The inventions are distinct, each form the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process of using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product.

See MPEP § 806.05(h).

In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process compared to the anti-cancer agent capability claimed in claims 7-10. A method of treatment using a c-Kit inhibitor includes type II diabetes. (See US PGPub 20070032521).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

*Species election for Group I*

With the election of Group I, applicant must elect a single specie from *both* specie lists, *I and II*.

*I.* This application contains claims directed toward more than one species for the generic invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Specifically, applicant is required to define each R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>2</sup>, R<sup>3</sup> and R<sup>4</sup> and any additional variables as required for a particular species. Currently, claims 1, 3-17 are generic. If applicant elects a specific R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>2</sup>, R<sup>3</sup> and R<sup>4</sup> combination not found in the instant disclosure as filed, specie election may be considered new matter.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1609

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

### *Election Proper*

MPEP § 809.02(d) states “[w]here only generic claims are presented, no restriction can be required except in those applications where the generic claims recite such a multiplicity of species that an unduly extensive and burdensome search would be necessary if all of the claimed species were to be examined simultaneously.”

The present claims are directed to a use of a c-Kit kinase inhibitor as an anti-cancer agent. Present claim 1 for example provides a variety of possibilities for R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>2</sup>, R<sup>3</sup> and R<sup>4</sup>. For

hypothetical exemplification purposes only, if each of the variables above were each limited to 10 possible moieties there would be  $10^4$  possible species of compounds to be searched.

Further, as shown by the following classifications, a majority of the combinations encompassed by the present claims has acquired a separate status in the art. For example if B is a ring comprising 1 N it is classified as in class 514 subclass 277, whereas if B is a ring comprising 2 N's it is classified as in class 514, subclass 247. Notwithstanding that the classification of some of the active agents is co-extensive, all of the claimed compounds are patentably distinct and fully capable of supporting separate patents.

*II. An anticancer agent for treating a cancer expressing excessive c-Kit kinase or mutant c-Kit kinase, wherein the cancer includes acute myelogenous leukemia, mast cell leukemia, a small cell lung cancer, GIST, a testicular cancer, an ovarian cancer, a breast cancer, a brain cancer, neuroblastoma, or a colorectal cancer; mastocytosis, allergy or asthma (Claims 7-11).*

The above listed species are independent or distinct because the different types of cancer have varying mechanisms of action. Applicant must elect one type of cancer.

The inventions above are patentably distinct. The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Burden consists not only of specific searching of classes and subclasses, but also of searching multiple databases for foreign references and literature searches. Burden also resides in the examination of independent claim set for clarity, enablement and double patenting issues. Further, a reference that would anticipate

Art Unit: 1609

the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group.

Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application and the restriction for examination purposes as indicated above is deemed proper.

*Species election for Group II*

Invention in Group II contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a therapeutic method for cancer wherein said cancer includes acute myelogenous leukemia, mast cell leukemia, a small cell lung cancer, GIST, a testicular cancer, an ovarian cancer, a breast cancer, a brain cancer, neuroblastoma or a colorectal cancer (Claims 13-14).

The above listed species are independent or distinct because the different types of cancer have varying mechanisms of action. Applicant must elect one type of cancer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

Art Unit: 1609

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must include which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

*Inventorship Notice*

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

*Conclusion*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anna Pagonakis whose telephone number is 571-270-3505. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday, 9am to 5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AP

 7/12/07  
ARDIN H. MARSCHEL  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER